From: Brooke Christensen
To: Pam Lehman
Subject: Fwd: Reams PUD 12
Date: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 9:47:17 AM

Brooke Christensen
Sandy City Council- District 1
801.455.0800

Begin forwarded message:

From: Scott Peterson <scopeclan@yahoo.com>
Date: March 24, 2019 at 10:07:24 PM MDT
To: Brooke Christensen <bchristensen@sandy.utah.gov>
Subject: Re: Reams PUD 12

I agree that there needs to be something there. I think a PUD 6 would fit very well with all the surrounding neighborhoods. A PUD 8 would still be quite dense. Why don't they have anything but a PUD 12 on the table? Does this developer have an all or nothing attitude? That is to his detriment, i would think.

Thank you for reaching out; thank you for understanding the high density of the PUD 12-- here in Sandy.

Scott

On Sunday, March 24, 2019, 3:13:54 PM MDT, Brooke Christensen <bchristensen@sandy.utah.gov> wrote:

Scott - Thank you for reaching out on this issue. I agree, the PUD12 is too dense for the area.

During the last meeting about this, a few of your neighbors expressed that a smaller density would be a preferable compromise. As of now, that isn't on the table. But I'm curious what you think about a PUD 6 or 8.

Just for your information - the City has to consider any application a developer submits. That is the law. You are correct that Planning Commission is forwarding a negative recommendation to the City Council. Now the Council will make the final decision.

Brooke Christensen
Sandy City Council- District 1
801.455.0800

On Mar 24, 2019, at 2:59 PM, "noreply@sandy.utah.gov" <noreply@sandy.utah.gov> wrote:

Message submitted from the <Sandy City, UT> website.

Site Visitor Name: Scott Peterson
Site Visitor Email: scopeclan@yahoo.com

Ms. Brooke Christensen

According to your bio your goal is: “ensure city growth is done with the best interests of District 1 (and all Sandy) citizens, and champion the interests and concerns of our neighborhood.” This is an opportunity for you to champion the interests of the citizens and neighborhood – before those of a developer. Please be that champion before deciding to simply accept a PUD 12 where it does not fit in Sandy.

In February, there were many residents from the surrounding neighborhoods that came before you already and voiced opposition to this PUD 12 development. There were many reasons that were submitted and many that were voiced. I am surprised that so soon after this meeting that this could come to light again. When you left the meeting, the recommendation to be given to the City Council was to (NOT) support this PUD 12 development. What changed?

1) The developer has not PROVEN that the area can support a PUD 12. He simply stated at the previous meeting that the (Highest allowed) was a PUD 12 so that is why they proposed it. The developer also said that a PUD 11 may work as well. Why not a smaller PUD?
2) There was nothing in their documentation that said they had done ANY research on the impacts expected from traffic, sewer, growth, school, or other issues. Where is the developer impact study? Are you going to simply bow to this proposal because it is a large developer without sufficient study and reporting?
3) What is the impact to the surrounding neighborhood? Has the council spelled this out?
4) This is NOT a (should we) or (should we not) develop the area question. I recognize that the area needs to be developed. Just because we CAN have PUD 12, should we?
5) Just because it is a PUD 12 and there are 130 homes in such a small area, it does not make these homes that much less expensive for people to purchase.

Please do NOT approve this PUD 12 recommendation.