From: Brooke Christensen
To: Pam Lehman
Subject: Fwd: Proposed rezone - Reams property
Date: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 9:47:37 AM

Brooke Christensen
Sandy City Council- District 1
801.455.0800

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** April Barton <justme.april@gmail.com>
> **Date:** March 25, 2019 at 1:06:05 PM MDT
> **To:** Brooke Christensen <bchristensen@sandy.utah.gov>
> **Subject: Re: Proposed rezone - Reams property**
>
> Brooke,
> Thank you for taking time to read my email and for responding. I'm sure the water pipe will be addressed, but I still feel like it needs to remain a factor at this point and not left for the site planning stage. If for instance the PUD12 is allowed and then they find that they loose some of their lot square footage due to the easement they will just stack and pack them in to the remaining area making it even more dense that a typical PUD12.
>
> If I am missing something and it wouldn't work that way I would love to be informed, but based on my reading this is how I understand it would work.
>
> Thank you, I feel like you always represent the neighbors and take time to listen to our concerns.
>
> April
>
> On Mon, Mar 25, 2019 at 12:39 PM Brooke Christensen <bchristensen@sandy.utah.gov> wrote:
>
> > April - Thank you for taking the time to reach out on this issue. I agree the PUD12 is too dense. PUD 6 or 8 is much more in line with the neighborhood.
> >
> > The rental aspect is also a concern - I don't have any good info or answers on that at this point.
> >
> > I will bring up the water pipe but I am positive it would have to be addressed during the site planning stage if the zoning where changed.
> >
> > Brooke Christensen
> > Sandy City Council- District 1
> > 801.455.0800

> On Mar 24, 2019, at 10:59 PM, April Evans <justme.april@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Dear Brooke,
> I am writing in regards to the 'Reams Property' and the proposed rezone. I am April Barton, a married mother of four. We moved to Sandy two years ago when we decided to transition from active duty Army to the Army reserves to raise our kids closer to family.
>
> I understand development and the need for more housing. We LOVE Sandy! We want more people to be able to enjoy this wonderful city like we do. I do not however understand why we need to have all the vacant land developed at such high density. For the surrounding neighborhoods a PUD 6 or 8 would be a much better fit while still allowing growth. I believe our planning and zoning, city council, and residents of Sandy City should decide what is best for our city and what should go on the little land we have left to develop. I do not think a property owner should set a price for land and then expect the city to grant the density needed so everyone reaches their bottom line except the neighbors and residents that will continue to live with it.
>
> In addition to the typical concerns I have several concerns regarding this specific property.
>
> -That they will be rental units until the current owner passes away. We want units that will be owner occupied.
>
> -Water pipe easement. I've been in contact with WaterPro who owns the pipe running along the south side of the property. They have not been contacted by the developer and had not heard about proposed plans until my call. The pipe is 20inches which requires a 30 foot easement. How would this factor into their plans? This is a big detail that has been mentioned at every meeting yet they haven't looked into what it entails. I've attached the map that WaterPro provided. The pipe is the solid line.
>
> I hope that you will consider the thoughts and wishes of the neighbors, your fellow Sandy city residents when you are making these big decisions. This is not just a signature on paper, we will have to live with the outcome. We love our neighborhood, we love Sandy, please help us preserve it.
>
> Sincerely,
> April Barton
>
>
> <WaterPro site map.png>