

From: [Dustin Fratto](#)
To: [Mike Applegarth](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Farnsworth Farms
Date: Thursday, November 5, 2020 2:25:05 PM

From: Patch Henderson <2pathend@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 4, 2020 12:39 PM
To: Cyndi Sharkey <csharkey@sandy.utah.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Farnsworth Farms

Hi - Not happy with the way this is going. Let's cut the number in half, 48 homes, or at least by 1/3, 64 homes. Would you allow this in your already highly populated neighborhood? Please put my comments into the record if impossible.

- There is no reason to change the current zoning except to make money. What is the reason for changing anyway? Just because someone asks, doesn't cut it.
- Let's put the quality of life ahead of money; not just their quality of life but everyone around them as well.
- There are already plenty of high density housing developments around.
- The city master plan was made for a reason, let's stick with it, or at least something like it.
- Traffic back up on 114th South is already bad, from State Street AND from the TRAX line
- 96 units in that small of an area is inconsistent with the surrounding homes in the area
- Please don't ruin Sandy.

Thanks for listening,

Patch

~ Go Forward with Courage ~

From: [John Annunziata](#)
To: [Mike Annunzio](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Hearing tonight Farnsworth Farms
Date: Thursday, November 5, 2020 1:32:17 PM

I'd like to include this email in the public record to show support for the request by DAI to rezone approximately 10.07 acres at 11228 South 700 East (#ZONE-03-20-5825).

As a resident of Sandy and resident within 500 feet of the proposed rezoning, I support the rezone request for the following reasons:

The proposed site plan shows a mix of housing types, with both townhome and twin-home units which is good for the community and provides options to single family homes. Most importantly this proposal takes into account the concerns of adjacent properties that are most impacted by the proposal. DAI has consulted with the neighbors on both the west property lines of the proposed project and addressed their concerns for setbacks/views from our backyards. In addition, DAI has also received feedback from community members that expressed concerns regarding density, traffic and open space requirements. The original proposal made this past summer has been revised to address those concerns. The proposal was adjusted again after a hearing in the fall. The current property has been vacant for an extended period of time and is an eye sore to the community. The proximity to 700 East limits the economic feasibility of building on the current zoning. A previous developer proposed a similar plan for the site which indicates the current proposal from DAI is one of the full viable options for the site. I would rather see the site developed with the current proposal than stay vacant for an extended period of time.

Thank you for considering these points and, again, I encourage Sandy City's approval of the proposed rezone (#ZONE-03-20-5825).

Sincerely,

John and Lauren Annunziata
11175 South Farnsworth Lane

From: [Debbie Mudge](#)
To: [Mike Applegarth](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Farnsworth Farms rezone
Date: Wednesday, November 4, 2020 1:12:23 PM

This is in regards to the 96 unit high density development proposal for Farnsworth Farms.

I am again emailing to say that I do not support the 96 Unit high density development for Farnsworth Farms.

I still believe that 96 units are too many housing units for that area.

I have yet to see any studies proving that the area could handle that many units. How would the water, sewer, schools and traffic be impacted by that many units?

I think the council needs to slow down and do some research before going ahead with this proposal!

I would ask that my comments be read into the record at the time of the public meeting on Nov. 5th.

Thank You,

Debbie Mudge