



# SANDY CITY PARKS AND RECREATION

DAN MEDINA  
PARKS AND RECREATION  
DIRECTOR

MONICA ZOLTANSKI  
MAYOR

SHANE PACE  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

To: Sandy City Council, Council Staff  
Copy: Mayor Monica Zoltanski, Shane Pace, CAO  
From: Dan Medina, Director of Parks & Recreation  
Re: November 8, 2022 – Council Agenda Item  
Discussion regarding rebuild/remodel options for Alta Canyon

The purpose of this agenda item is to move towards a decision as to how the council would like to proceed with the design for the Alta Canyon Sports Center. In the September 20, 2022 council meeting Resolution 22-43C Amended was passed. A portion of that resolution allocated \$3,000,000 towards the Alta Canyon Architectural Design. To spend these funds wisely, a decision needs to be made to either construct a new building or remodel the existing building and add additional space.

In the AECOM study "[Alta Canyon Feasibility Analysis Presentation](#)" discussed at city council on October 26, 2021 they presented four concepts. In the March 29, 2022 council meeting a motion was made to move forward with outreach for Concept Options B and D from the study. The Alta Canyon Citizen Advisory Committee was tasked with providing their recommendations on the options and amenities to the council. The chair, Stephanie Juhl, will be at the meeting to provide their feedback.

The following table is a summary of some key information from the AECOM study:

	<b>Option B</b>	<b>Option D</b>
Construction	Remodel and addition	New Build
Square footage	73,794 - 87,144	73,794 - 87,144
Cost	\$32,302,400 - \$40,248,700	\$39,374,000 - 47,261,800
Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two story entrance with climbing feature creates attraction</li> <li>Maintain existing locker facilities (with modernization)</li> <li>Office program moved to second level, allows direct access from exterior</li> <li>Loading dock relocated to east, away from patron areas</li> <li>Exterior access to pool area maintained</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two story linear entrance creates dynamic exterior presence with views to interior, including climbing feature</li> <li>Building has strong presence at NW corner of site at vehicular intersection</li> <li>Office program segregated to second level with direct access from main lobby</li> <li>Pool is accessible from exterior &amp; interior</li> <li>Building placement allows for expansive "Plaza" connecting the pool &amp; program spaces</li> </ul>
Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dock location may require new curb cut on E 9510 S</li> <li>Tennis courts tucked to rear of site, require significant grading/excavation and addition of new parking to east side</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pool is separated from the main facility</li> <li>Reconstruction of main parking area required, possibly at higher elevation than facility main floor</li> </ul>

Note: Square footage and cost numbers are from construction cost estimates tables from the AECOM study. The first number is without the pool or pool enclosure. The second number is with indoor pool.

Some additional factors the council may want to consider regarding the options might include:

- The options in the AECOM study are rough concepts with the intent to give potential layouts. The entrance points, room locations, sizes of rooms, specific amenities, parking layout, etc. will be more fully vetted in the design process and will change from these concepts.
- Long term operating cost
- Curb appeal
- Future expansion possibilities
- Amenities
  - Priority of amenities to be included
  - What is more important, an amenity that generates revenue or an amenity that is highly desired by the citizens but will have to be subsidized.
  - Unique Amenities / Wow factor – Climbing Gym, [Flowrider](#), [Proleski](#), etc