

Citizen Comment Tabulation (Comments Received Prior to 12/15/2022)

	Total	
For		36
Against		19

Written Letter	16
PC Public Comment	21
CitizenComment Email	2
Email to Staff	5
CC Public Comment	11

Notes

17 Individuals/households commented multiple times, their comments were counted as 1 comment.

55 Total unique comments

There are 114 total properties in the Bell Canyon Acres Subdivision

Name	Source	Position	Comment Synopsis or Key Takeaway
Michael & Kerry McLelland	Written Letter	Against	Included documents from the Bell Canyon Acres litigation, and states that "protective covenants take precedent over city law for individual communities", and that the Bell Canyon Covenants state that lots are for residential purposes only, no commercial purposes, and that easements are for "utilities, drainage, and enjoyment on the bridal path"
Connie & Jay Elliott	Written Letter	For	Limited boarding options in SL Valley
Abe & Andrea Denzer	Written Letter	For	New code is more straightforward for housing of animals
Debbie & Thomas Mertens	Written Letter	For	Let people do what they want
Ronald Smart	Written Letter	Against	Don't change the status quo
Maria Opfar	Written Letter	For	In favor of all changes
Audree Ketchum	Written Letter	Against	Random strangers shouldn't have access to privately owned property
Patrick & Stephanie Lawrence	Written Letter	Against	Not totally against, but liability hasn't been addressed
Randy & Sheila Bowen	Written Letter	Against	Security and traffic issues
Joyce Becker	Written Letter	Against	Increased traffic on trails, too much strain on community
Jon Eicher	Written Letter	For	Additional capacity to board is needed Believes the amendments would not help make the community a unique area, but instead would allow for boarding of up to 10 horses without any oversight because of the lack of business license requirements. More horses also increases the risk for residents, and more accidents leads to more insurance claims, which would increase insurance rates in the area. "Not against resolving the boarding issue- but these amendments appear to be more geared to building commercial unregulated businesses then in resolving the boarding issue or preserving the community"
Kate McConaughy	Written Letter	Against	
Lamar Beckstead	Written Letter	Against	Changes will require more animal control and police coverage. Why are the current rule breakers being rewarded?
Stu Lawn	PC Public Comment	Against	4 horses is a nuisance
Amanda Larson	PC Public Comment	Against	Increased traffic, safety, ethical liability for Mayor
Leonard Christopherson	PC Public Comment	For	Supportive of the proposed amendments to the Bell Canyon Acres subdivision and feels it is a good direction to go in.

John Brubaker	PC Public Comment	Against	Increased home owners insurance rates
Keri Wright	PC Public Comment	Against	Concerned with legalized boarding
Kathy Walker	PC Public Comment	For	Need for increased horse boarding.
Marilyn Larsen	PC Public Comment	For	Asked Mr. Christensen to speak on her behalf. Amendments will allow for better code enforcement and is the right thing to do
Starr Dowding	PC Public Comment	For	Made arguments that boarding horses does not result in high profits. Believes having horse boarders in the neighborhood is "better than living across the street in those town homes"
Mike Seely	PC Public Comment	For	Did not know it was illegal to board horses in Bell Canyon Acres, believes it is important to be able to board horses and ride safely in the neighborhood
Reed Hess	PC Public Comment	For	
Dean Wood	PC Public Comment	For	Doen't anticipate increased traffic
Sandra Haak	PC Public Comment	For	Supportive of code amendments, amendments will make code clear and help city's code enforcers.
Bonnie Stout	PC Public Comment	For	Boarding horses is part of Bell Canyon Acres history, and is important to the neighborhood. Code enforcement has a hard time enforcing boarding because of confusing ordinance, these amendments help clarify.
Steve Adams	PC Public Comment	Against	Needs more time to find better options
Patricia McCullough	PC Public Comment	For	
Danielle Finger	PC Public Comment	For	
Jim Engel	PC Public Comment	For	
Pete Hawk	PC Public Comment	For	
John Eicher	PC Public Comment	For	Supportive of amendments
Scott and Mary Hockin	PC Public Comment	Against	
Scott and Heather Tasker	PC Public Comment	For	
Anonymous 1	Written Letter	Against	Safety, total number of animals, dust, etc
Anonymous 2	Written Letter	For	No justification given
Anonymous 3	Written Letter	Against	Additional burden on the neighborhood, concerned with retribution
Anonymous 4	CitizenComment	For	Made comments on certain aspects of the ordinance amendments, including using consistent language for trails/ bridal path, renters should be allowed to board horses if they choose, farm animal area size should control animal allowance not lot size
Ryan Kartchner	CitizenComment	For	Wants to show support for amendments
Carolyn Potter	Email	For	Supports the addition of accessory structures used for care and maintenance of farm animals, Sandy City needs enforcement of standards of these structures
Fred Sr Lowry	Email	For	"Full support of code amendments"

Phil Blair	Email	For	Residents boarding non residents' horses should NOT have an ordinance against them
Chase and Tonya Schaeffer	Email	against	Administration has failed to enforce current ordinance that resulted in civil lawsuits, and the City has no right to increase resident liability when they are "guilty of negligence and non enforcement." Amendments are "self serving" for the current Mayor. Does not occupy the property she owns in Bell Canyon Acres, but instead rents out the house and keeps horses in the barn on the property, and has a neighbor/friend that does some of the care work for the horses, and keeps her neighbor's horse in her barn even though no money is exchanged for "boarding", although they do share costs of hay and bedding. *Note* Community Development responded with a email informing Ms. Taylor that the proposed amendments would not impact her situation because it is limited to owner occupied homes only.
Allyson Taylor	Email	against	
AnnaRae Garrett	CC Public Comment	For	Lives near the Bell Canyon Acres subdivision and is supportive of proposed amendments
Margaret Allen	CC Public Comment	For	Lives in Bell Canyon Acres and is usportive of proposed ammendments and horse boarding.
James Engle	CC Public Comment	For	The amendment will better define the rules and allows for more consistent and easier enforcement. Hopes the amendments will stop disputes in the neighborhood.
Teddy Wood	CC Public Comment	For	Supportive of boarding horses and agrees with proposed amendments
Michael McClelland	CC Public Comment	against	Loves horses but feels horse boarding in the neighborhood unfairly impacts residents
Barry Stout	CC Public Comment	For	Encouraged council to vote to approve amendments
Wendy Fisher	CC Public Comment	For	Keeps her neighbors horses on her property to provide companionship to her horse, does not take any money, but was reported for boarding. Supportive of amendments
Zach Frankel	CC Public Comment	For	Bell Canyon Acres is unique because of access to Dimple Dell Park and ability of home owners to have large animals, supports the amendments
Carola Michel	CC Public Comment	For	Supportive of amendments, has horse property not in Bell Canyon Acres
Colette Engle	CC Public Comment	For	Supportive of amendments
Craig McCullough	CC Public Comment	For	Amendments are common sense, in favor of horse boarding