From:	Brooke Christensen
To:	Pam Lehman
Subject:	Fwd: Ream"s property rezoning
Date:	Tuesday, March 26, 2019 9:45:40 AM

Brooke Christensen
Sandy City Council- District 1
801.455.0800

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** "noreply@sandy.utah.gov" <noreply@sandy.utah.gov>
> **Date:** March 23, 2019 at 4:51:57 PM MDT
> **To:** "Christensen, Brooke" <bchristensen@sandy.utah.gov>
> **Subject: Ream's property rezoning**
> **Reply-To:** Matt Sullivan <counselboysully@gmail.com>
>
> Message submitted from the <Sandy City, UT> website.
>
> **Site Visitor Name:** Matt Sullivan
> **Site Visitor Email:** counselboysully@gmail.com
>
> Hi Brooke:
>
> I'm writing about next Tuesday's city council meeting as it relates to a proposed zone change at the former Ream's grocery store and surrounding property on 700 East and approximately 107th South. As you are probably aware, a developer, Thackery Company, has proposed to change the approximate 11 acres from commercial zone to PUD-12 - which would allow mulit-housing units of approximately 12 units/acre. To put that into perspective, my neighborhood to the south of the Ream's property has approximately 5 homes/acre. The proposal by Thackery Company to zone it to PUD-12 is unacceptable considering the zoning surrounding the neighborhoods of the Ream's property. The neighborhood just west of the Ream's property is zoned mostly for 1 acre lots with a few smaller lots.
>
> I'm not against multi-unit housing, but a PUD-12 does not dovetail in the outlay in these already established neighborhoods. However, a PUD-12 zoning could work in locations where it's already zoned PUD-12 multi-housing units or similar. This proposal to rezone the Ream's property (as a PUD-12) doesn't blend with the already established surrounding neighborhoods.
>
> Rezoning it will bring more foot traffic cutting through our neighborhoods (going to and from TRAX), more domestic issues involving crime, and even heavier traffic on 700 East.
>
> There are other options to consider for this property as commercially zoned. And

while a PUD-12 or even a PUD-8 is not acceptable, I'm not opposed to PUD-6 zoning which would allow that property to be developed with homes similar to the unit scale/acre as my neighborhood. That is something I could live with. The development (of unit housing size/acre) must achieve a size similar the surrounding neighborhoods.

Thank you for your time and consideration. And thanks for coming to the Feb 7th Planning Commission meeting.
Matt Sullivan